



**Government Of Tamilnadu**

TNUDP III – UGSS – Implementation of Under Ground Sewerage Schemes in Sankaran Koil, Gobichettipalayam, Paramakudi, Komarapalayam, Chinnamanur, Mettur, Rasipuram, Tenkasi and Sathyamangalam – Administrative Sanction – Accorded.

---

**MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND WATER SUPPLY DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.(3D) No.6**

**Dated: 02.03.2007**

Read:

1. From the Commissioner of Municipal Administration letter No.

a) Roc.No.32855/2004/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

b) Roc.No.50617/2004/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

c) Roc.No.32858/2004/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

d) Roc.No.49901/2004/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

e) Roc.No.24067/2006/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

f) Roc.No.47107/2006/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

g) Roc.No.31662/2004/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

h) Roc.No.42834/2005/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

i) Roc.No.24067/2006/UGSS-2 dated:12.02.2007

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORDER:**

The Government made a policy announcement during the last budget session of the legislative assembly for providing Under Ground Sewerage Scheme in all the municipal Towns of the State during the next five years which include the following 9 Municipalities:-

- 1) Sankarankoil
- 2) Gobichettipalayam
- 3) Paramakudi
- 4) Komarapalayam
- 5) Chinnamanur
- 6) Mettur
- 7) Rasipuram
- 8) Tenkasi
- 9) Sathyamangalam

The respective Municipal Councils have resolved to take up on UGSS Project to their Municipalities.

2. The scheme is proposed to be implemented under TNUDP III with a financial tie up, Financial viability and Sustainability as indicated below respectively:-

#### TABLE-I

#### FINANCIAL TIE-UP

Name of the Municipality	Grant Fund (Rs. in Crores)	Local Body Contribution (Rs.in Crores)	TNUDP III Loan (Rs. in Crores)	Additional Grant sought for (Rs. in Crores)	Interest during construction (Rs. in Crores)	Total Project (Rs. in Crores)	Annual Maintenance cost (Rs. in lakhs)
Sankaran Koil	6.29	5.11	9.58	nil	0.20	21.18	40.00
Gobichettipalayam	6.50	6.16	5.40	3.59	0.23	21.88	62.00
Paramakudi	9.75	9.75	12.98	nil	0.55	33.03	65.00
Komarapalayam	4.38	4.58	5.64	nil	0.12	14.72	79.50
Chinnamanur	3.00	4.04	2.99	nil	0.13	10.16	40.00
Mettur	7.45	5.93	4.00	7.46	0.17	25.01	77.60
Rasipuram	4.87	5.05	6.31	nil	0.27	16.50	30.97
Tenkasi	7.90	8.68	9.76	nil	0.20	26.54	45.81
Sathyamangalam	3.61	3.36	2.65	2.41	0.11	12.14	35.54

The following deposit and tariff rates are recommended by Tamilnadu Urban Infrastructure Financial Services Ltd for the scheme:-

**TABLE II****FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND SUSTAINABILITY**

Name of the Municipality	Average Deposit		Average Tariff	
	Domestic (In Rupees)	Non – Domestic (In Rupees)	Domestic (In Rupees) Per month	Non-Domestic (In Rupees)
Sankaran Koil	3,915.56	1,0217.53	88.31	234.65
Gobichettipalayam	5,886.04	17,036.98	83.86	288.45
Paramakudi	4,810.68	9,251.49	76.41	156.85
Komarapalayam	3,839.00	13,003.00	96.79	314.46
Chinnamanur	4,319.00	12,333.00	62.75	171.20
Mettur	5,547.00	11,634.00	88.00	211.00
Rasipuram	5,307.00	10,301.00	73.00	144.00
Tenkasi	4,970.00	10,982.00	89.40	199.65
Sathyamangalam	5,398.14	12,958.55	73.98	175.08

3. The Commissioner of Municipal Administration has stated that the scheme will be implemented by Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board following World Bank procurement norms. The State Level Project Sanctioning Committee has approved the proposal vide in its minutes dated 6.2.2007.

4. The Government have carefully examined the proposal of Commissioner of Municipal Administration and recommendation of the State Level Project Sanctioning Committee and accord administrative sanction for the implementation of UGSS for Sankaran Koil, Gobichettipalayam, Paramakudi, Komarapalayam, Chinnamanur, Mettur, Rasipuram, Tenkasi and Sathyamangalam for the estimated cost along with annual maintenance cost as shown in the table I of para 2 above and to go for financial assistance according to means of finances suggested by TNUIFSL in addition to the deposits and tariffs suggested by TNUIFSL in consultation with CMA and the Urban Local Bodies.

5. The approval of the Government is subject to the following conditions:-

- The Municipalities should pass necessary resolution regarding adoption of the funding pattern and tariff and deposit structure suggested by the TNUIFSL
- If costs change due to choice of technology, the financial viability has to be re looked at by TNUIFSL,
- The project must be completed within the sanctioned amount. If it exceeds, the Commissioner of Municipal Administration should obtain revised Administrative Sanction by placing the proposal before the SLPSC with the revised

resolution wherever necessary;

- The tariff and deposit structure be decided by the Municipality and the lending institution concerned while finalizing the loan grant component of the project; and
- The Municipality should bear the shortfall if any in the annual O&M cost and also the interest during construction and any other escalations in cost from its General Fund.

/BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR/

**K.DEENABANDU**  
**SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To

The Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Chepauk, Chennai-5

The Managing Director, TWAD Board, Chennai-5.

The Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director, TNUIFSL, Chennai- 600 017.

The Commissioners of Sankaran Koil, Gobichettipalayam, Paramakudi, Komarapalayam, Chinnamanur, Mettur, Rasipuram, Tenkasi and Sathyamangalam Municipalities.

The Director of Local Fund Audit, Kuralagam, Chennai-600 108.

The Accountant General, Chennai-18

The Accountant General (Audit), Chennai-108.

The Resident Audit Officer, Chennai-600 009.

The Finance(MA&WS) Department, Chennai-600 005.

The Municipal Administration and Water Supply (MA II) Department, Chennai-600 009.

SF/SC

//Forwarded /By Order//

**Section Officer**